•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/534,019	MIYASAKA, ATSUSHI
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Steven D. Maki	1791
All Participants:	Status of Application:	<u> </u>
(1) <u>Steven D. Maki</u> .	(3) <i>Mainak Mehta (46924</i>	<u>u</u> .
(2) <u>John Bird (46027)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 22 November 2007	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 2, 3		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		•
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
	,	
Steven & Male		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant//	Applicant's Representative Signature	nature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On 11-20-07, examiner gave John Bird the following proposal: In claim 2 line 15 change "the vicinities" to --surfaces--. In claim 3 line 3 change "the first narrow groove" to --a first narrow groove--. Change title to --Pneumatic tire with tread including chamfer portions--. On 11-22-07, Mainak Mehta agreed to the proposed changes..